1-2

CASE NO. 2888.

IN THE FOURTH DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF UTAH, IN AND FOR UTAH COUNTY.

Provo Peservoir Company,

A Corporation

Plaintiff

Vs.

Provo City, Lincoln School District,
The Drovo Bench Canal and Trigation
Company, The East River Bottom
Water Company, Et al Defendants.

Separate Dissuer Bottoms of East Rover Bottoms Water Co.

Now comes the East River Bottom Water Company, one of the defendants, in the above entitled cause and makes its answer to the said plainitiff's complaint for its self alone and not for any one or more of the other defendants, and admits, denies, and alleges as follows, to-wit:

(8)

Admits the allegations in paragraphs from 1 to 29 inclusive.

11

As to albegations in paragraphs from 29 (A) to 29 (C) inclusive, this defendant has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief and therefore denies it.

111

Admits paragraph 29 (D).

17

As to the statements contained in paragraph 29 (E) to paragraph 33 inclusive, this defendant has not sufficient knowledge to form a belief and therefore denies it.

V

The defindant admits paragraphs 34 and 35.

AT

As to paragraph 36, this defendent alleges, that it has no sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief except as hereinafter set forth and therefore denies it.

V11

As to the allegations in paragraph 37, defendant alleges, that it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief except as hereinafter set forth and therefore denies it.

As to the allegations in paragraphs 38 and 39, defendant alleges, that it has not sufficient knowledge or information on which to form a belief except as hereinafter set forth and thereofre denies it.

17

That the said defendant, whe East River Bottom Water Company, for a further answer and affirmative defence alleges:

That on the 5th. day of Feburary, A.D. 1902, in a case then pending in the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District of the State of "tah, In and for Utah County, wherein Provo City, et al, were plaintiffd and the East River Bottom water Company, et al, were defendents, a certain decree was rendered, commonly known as the "Morse Decree", which Decree adjudicated the several water rights of the parts to the said action among others, those of the grantors and predecessors of this defendant, and awarded to them certain amount of water at different stages of the amount of water in Provo River, which Decree is hereby refered to and as far as applicable to the plaintiff and this defendant, its grantors and predecessors, is made a part of this answer.

I

That bu mutual agreement and stipulation by and between the said plaintiff and this defendant, this defendant is accorded the rights awarded to its grantors and predecessors by said Decree, subject, however, only to the terms of a decree of this court made and entered on the 26th day of January, 1907, and commonly known as the "Chidester Decree". Wherein the said decree changes in any manner t the rights of this defendant as given to its grantors and predecessed ors by the said "Morse Decree" and which "Chidester Decree" is hereby referred to in so far as it affects the rights of this plaintiff and defendant it is hereby made a part of this answer.

Xl

That this defendant, The East River Bottom Water Company, is the grantee and successor in interest to the Benjiman B. Richmond, William Scott, Thomas J. Foot, _ames Meldrum, John E. Booth, Gworge Baum, Amasa Penriod, Joseph W. Smith, Jane Thomas, Elisia Thomas, Jacob H. Baum, Fredrick Furgerson, W.W. Furgerson, Lewis Stewart, J.H. Ferree, Peter Boyce, John W. Brown, Henry Smith, James Gellispe, Owen Baum, David N. Penriod, John Stubbs, and Thomas Ferree.

WHEREFORE, said defendant, The East River Bottom Water Company, prays judgment that it may be granted and have the rights to the use of the water as awarded to it by said "Morse Decree" and particularly decree described as follows, to-wit:

That when the water of said Provo River at and near the mouth of Provo Canyon exceeds 15000 cubic feet per minute that this defendant be awarded its portion of the said water awarded to its grantors and predecessors in interest together with the other persons named in paragraph six of the said "Morse Decree" to the amount of .0875 of the water in said River.

That when the water of said provo River at and near the mouth of Provo Canyon does not exceed twelve thousand cubic feet of water per minute that this defendant be awarded its portion of the said water awarded to its grantors and predecessors in interest together with the other persons named in paragraph six of the said "Morse Decree" to the amount of .0879. And that the defendant recover its costs.

State of Utah SS

County of Utah fred Ferguson being first duly sworn on his oath says: that he is an officer, to-wit. We Phesident of the said

defendant, The East River Bottom Water Company, that he has read the long of the going answer and knows the contents thereof and that the same is the contents of his own knowledge except as to those matters stated on his transfer and as to those matters he believes he it to

Subscribed and sworn to before me this / day of

My commission expires april 25, 1915,

Notary Public

No 2888,
Dist Court
Mach Co
Provo Reservoirle
No
Provo Cily, East rines
Buttons Water Co et al
Separate Ausner of
East River Buttonia
Water Co.
Received Copy
This 5 ofay of 7th
planty
atty for Planty
Outly for Planty

FEB 5 1915
C.J. Polymer Efficience

J. P. Booth.